



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/782,394

02/18/2004

Paul J. Husted

ATH-0125

4348

30547 7590 11/17/2008
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP
2099 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 320
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1. This office action, in response to the remarks filed 8/18/2008, is a final office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 8/18/2008 regarding claims 19-24 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states Husted's teaching can not be characterized as aborting the signal reception sequence. The examiner disagrees. Husted discloses detecting interference in an input signal. When an adjacent interferer is present, interference is present in band for the desired signal, so that in band power measurements when no desired signal is present can get a quick spike, looking like an increase in the in band power. The lowest 28 of 32 samples are used so the temporary spike is nulled out. Once a signal of interest is present, all samples are used. Strong and weak detection will then be used (paragraph 0054). If a signal is found, the detection process is complete and the signal will be feed to downstream elements for processing of the reception sequence (paragraph 0031). This is the activating a signal reception sequence. If a signal is not found, the detection process will stop for this portion of the signal. The signal will not be sent to down stream elements for processing of the reception sequence. Instead, the detection process will be repeated on the next portion of the signal (paragraph 0031). Therefore, when the signal is an interference signal, the signal detection of a signal of interest is aborted and new processes are

conducted. This information was stated in the non-final office action mailed 5/9/2008, the advisory action mailed 1/11/2008 as well as the final office action mailed 9/25/2007.

For this reason and the reasons stated in the previous office action, the rejections of the claims are maintained and stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 19-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Husted et al (US 2002/0183027).

Regarding claims 19, 21 and 23, Husted discloses a method of using a system for disregarding co-channel signals in a communication network. The receiver searches for and receives a signal (figure 2). The receiver, shown in figure 2, processes the signal. An in-band signal is detected and differentiated from high power out of band signals that overlap the target band (paragraph 0006). An abort sequence is disclosed that will disable signal detection upon the determination that the signal is an interference signal (paragraphs 0006 and 0031). Husted further discloses detecting a new signal and to disable weak signal detection (paragraphs 0031 and 0066).

Regarding claim 20, Husted discloses a method of using a system for disregarding co-channel signals in a communication network. The receiver searches for

and receives a signal (figure 2). The receiver, shown in figure 2, processes the signal. An in-band signal is detected and differentiated from high power out of band signals that overlap the target band (paragraph 0006). An abort sequence is disclosed that will disable signal detection upon the determination that the signal is an interference signal (paragraphs 0006 and 0031). Husted further discloses calculating in-band power using a limited number of samples. If this power level exceeds a value, the remaining samples are used. The in-band power measurement when no desired signal is present can get a quick spike for a few samples and will immediately decrease when the remaining samples are used (paragraph 0054).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Husted et al (US 2002/0183027) in view of Haverinen et al (US 2004/0208151).

Regarding claims 22 and 24, Husted discloses the method of using a system for disregarding co-channel signals in a communication network as stated above. The system operates using IEEE 802.11 standard (paragraph 0014). Husted does not disclose aborting the signal reception sequence if the in-band signal includes one or more of an address or an identification signal. Haverinen discloses transmitting packets

Art Unit: 2611

according to IEEE 802.11 standard (paragraph 0046). The packets contain a destination MAC address field and are verified. If the identifier is incorrect, the access point preferably discards the data packet (paragraph 0046). This allows improper or undesirable signals to be disregarded, saving processing time and power. For these reasons, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Haverinen into the method and system of Husted.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Payne can be reached on (571) 272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin M. Burd/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611
11/12/2008